

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 08 2007

Utility Patent  
Ser. No 10/033,862

**BEFORE THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of: Pat YANANTON )

Date: January 4, 2007

Serial No.: 10/033,862 )

Group Art Unit: 3644

Filed: 12/20/2001 )

Examiner: Richard PRICE

Title: Absorbent Pad for Entrapping Fine  
and Coarse Particles, Retaining  
Liquids, and Eliminating Odors )

**Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 1-8-07 (date).

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate

TERRY LAKOS  
Signature Terry Lakos

**Certificate of Transmission**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (571) - 273 - 8300 on 1/8/07 (date).

Printed name of person signing this certificate

TERRY LAKOS  
Signature Terry Lakos

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**DECLARATION OF GARY G. ORTON UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.132**

Now comes declarant and states and declares the following:


1. I am currently the Plant Manager for the Felters Group in Gaffney, South Carolina with responsibility for all operations of a Needlepoint Nonwovens plant
2. That I also have other experience as shown in the attached Curriculum Vitae, which is referenced as if fully restated herein.

3. That I am familiar with the invention described in the Butterworth et al. patent, and have reviewed and understand the present invention.
4. I feel that there are significant differences in the problems being attacked by the present invention, and those attacked by the Butterworth invention. In my opinion, the Butterworth patent and the present patent application use a similar process in the formation of an airlaid bat, but the finished product, the process of achieving the finished product and the application of the finished product are completely different.
5. The combination of high loft fibers, particle entrapping tackifiers, and backing would not be considered "ordinary skill in the art". The Butterworth structure could not be used to attain the functionality as described by the present invention.
6. I feel that these functional differences are major and significant, such as to make the Butterworth device completely different art from the present invention.
7. In addition, there are many other functional and structural difference between the present invention and that described in Butterworth; particularly: cling agent charged into preformed web; reactive particles attached to cling agent; and reactive particles loaded into web; and additional agents loaded into web. Additionally, many other differences exist as well.
8. I feel the present invention embodies non-obvious differences over anything currently described or claimed in the Butterworth patent.
9. I feel the present invention embodies non-obvious differences over anything currently offered within the industry or anything currently described or claimed in the

Utility Patent  
Ser. No 10/033,862

Butterworth patent.

FURTHER DECLARANT SAITH NAUGHT.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gary G. Orton